

Honoric Awards Nominations Guidance

The following guidance is provided to assist individuals who are considering submitting a nomination for one of the Honoric Awards.

General principles for the Honoric Awards

1. Accomplishments within Hematology
 - a. Does the nominee have a high level of accomplishment in hematology?
 - b. How are these accomplishments or contributions to hematology demonstrated in the nominee's professional track record?
2. Professional Reputation
 - a. Does the nominee have academic stature based on the quality of his/her research, the quality of his/her mentees? Is this a person from whom I might seek consultation on either lab or clinical/translational research?
 - b. Has the nominee received awards and other forms of recognition for contributions to hematology?
 - c. Does the nominee have relevant publications in competitive journals?
 - d. Does the nomination include strong supportive letters of recommendations from mentors/mentees?
3. Does the nominee have a sustained record of NIH grant support (for US candidates) or support from relevant scientific grant awarding agencies (for non-US candidates)?
 - a. This principle is not relevant for the ASH Mentor Awards and Leadership in Promoting Diversity Award.
4. The record of receiving past ASH honorific awards will be considered to ensure that the same individual does not receive more than one ASH honorific award.

The Coulter Award should go to a contemporary giant in hematology. His/her contributions should be deemed outstanding in a number of different areas that include research, mentoring, stature, impact on education through books, chapters, clinical activities, and how the nominee changed the way hematology is practiced. The nominee should demonstrate successful mentoring of the next generation of Hematologists. Past recipients are reflective of the extremely high caliber of individuals to be considered. This award does not include a plenary lecture.

Ernest Beutler Lecture and Prize

Named for the late Dr. Ernest Beutler, a past president of ASH and physician-scientist for more than 50 years, this two-part lectureship is intended to recognize major translational advances related to a single topic. ASH presents this award to two individuals, one recognized for enabling advances in basic science, and the other recognized for using clinical science or translational research to carry the basic science advances through to tangible improvements in patient care.

The Ernest Beutler Lecture and Prize is unique in terms of the way it was established in consultation with the Beutler family to recognize advances related to a single topic (a disease or group of diseases) with two presentations: one summarizing the enabling advances in basic science and the other explaining how these advances have been applied in clinical science and translational research to improve the treatment or care of patients. For this award, appropriate selection of both the topic and the two presenters is critical to ensure the relevance and success of the presentations for ASH membership. Because this award involves a dedicated lecture during the meeting, the chosen individuals must not only represent leaders in the topic of the lecture but they must also have effective communication skills.

Furthermore, because the ASH membership encompasses a broad diversity of interests, the topics chosen for the Beutler Lecture and Prize must reflect these broad interests and also reflect exciting areas of current interest in both benign and malignant hematology. The Awards Committee first considers the topic and then identifies the two individuals who will best represent and communicate the two aspects of the presentation as stipulated by the award requirements.

Because of the complexity of this award, nominations must include a topic and two lecturers (basic and clinical/translational). The study section w4wction

This information is provided to help a nominator to understand what the reviewers consider to be important information to include in nomination letters (from peers and mentors/mentees).

Below is a list of questions that might be appropriate or relevant to consider when completing a nomination letter.

1. Contributions

- a. What scientific contributions in hematology has the nominee made? The nominee's contributions may be very effectively summarized in the NIH Biosketch annotated with 501059f8de/(t3)(i.9T)-Π(δ 8.5 (e)-33Tn(A)1)(1(0)1BMC 0.00 0 Td(w5.1 (h)10471.36 d 7.80 Td(e)1 (n hei)-0.9(1 (d)4 (w 5u0.9 (0.9 (i)-0.9r(z)1)Tz(e)-3 (nom)de)-3 (? nom)(T)-5.1 (h)

career.

- d. Can the nominator offer specific examples of excellent mentorship?
- e. Can the nominator provide specific examples of successful mentees?